UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.

Felsitta Eriacho

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Case Number: 1:06CR02168-001JB

USM Number: 32240-051

Defense Attorney: Margaret Katze, Appointed

THE DEFEN	DANT:		
	admitted guilt to violations of condition(s) SC of the term of supervision. was found in violation of condition(s) after denial of guilt.		
The defendan	t is adjudicated guilty of these violations:		
Violation Number	Nature of Violation	Violation Ended	
1	SC - The defendant failed to associate with any activity, and failed to not associate with any punless granted permission to do so by the probability.	erson convicted of a felony	
The defendant Reform Act of		this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The defe	ndant has not violated condition(s) and is discharg	ged as to such violation(s).	
		United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of osts, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid.	
		August 18, 2010	
Defendant's S	Soc. Sec. No.	Date of Imposition of Judgment	
06/19/1987		/s/ James O. Browning	
Defendant's Date of Birth Diersen Charities 2331 Menaul Blvd. NE		Signature of Judge	
		Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
2331 Menaui Albuquerque			
Defendant's Residence Address		Name and Title of Judge	
,		August 19, 2010	
Defendant's Mailing Address		Date Signed	
Bernalillo			
County of Re	sidence		

AO 245D (Rev. 12/03) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations

Sheet 2 Imprisonment Judgment Page 2 of 2

Defendant: Felsitta Eriacho

Case Number: 1:06CR02168-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 3 months.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 3 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public and effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant must surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant must surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Service Office.
	RETURN
I hav	re executed this judgment by:
Defe:	ndant delivered onto to with a Certified copy of this judgment.
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL
	Deputy United States Marshal